

CITY OF LODI

COUNCIL COMMUNICATION

AGXNDA TITLE:

Amend Refuse Rates Within the City of Lodi and

New Collection Mechanism

MEETING DATE:

October 21, 1992

PREPARED BY:

Assistant City Manager

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

The City council adopt the "three cart" curbside

recycling program and adopt the rates shown in

attached Ordinance 1558 and Ordinance 1559.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION: AB939 requires the

AB939 requires the City of Lodi and all California cities to reduce the flow of solid waste to landfills 2st by 1995 and 50% by the year 2000. From the waste characterization studies we have conducted the only

means of meeting this requirement is to recycle.

The Solid Waste Management Task Force after much study has recommended to the City Council a curbside recycling program. The major elements of this plan are that 'residents will be issued one 38-gallon waste cart to be used for all ntm-recyclable waste. Residents will be given the option of obtaining one or more 60-gallon carts for recyclables and one or more 90-gallon carts for yard and garden waste. The 38-gallon cart will be picked up every week at the curb and the recyclables and yard and garden waste on alternate weeks.

When this plan was first presented to the City Council it projected a residential rate increase to \$14.92 for basic service and another increase of 31% to \$19.55 effective April 1, 1993. It also projected a 31% increase for commercial and industrial customers now and an additional 31% in April 1993.

Thos. U.

THOMAS A. PETERSON

recycled paper

Amend Refuse Rates Within the City of Lodi and New Collection Mechanism October 21, 1992

Page Two

Upon review of the projected rates, staff of the City and Sanitary City Disposal refined these numbers by reducing labor costs, the number of new trucks to be purchased, the City's franchise fee and other operational costs. After this refinement we were able to reduce the rate increase to \$12.83 effective the first of November, 1992 with another rate increase in April, 1993 not to exceed \$16.46.

It is recognized there is a segment of our population which cannot take or make arrangements to have the waste carts taken to the street. Also we have a sizable number of families in Lodi who live below the poverty level. In order to alleviate the impact of this program on those citizens, it is recommended that 'a citizens' advisory board be established to review petitions to continue back yard service and to ascertain if a family is living below the poverty level. If the criteria for not being able to get the waste cart to the curb are met, then back yard service will be continued. If a family is living below the poverty level a reduced rate will be effected. It is suggested this board consist of the following:

- . Kirk Evans, Administrative Assistant to the City Manager
- . One member from the Senior Commission
- One member from the Solid Waste Management Task Force

If a family wishes to continue back yard pick up, this service will be profided. However, there will be a \$10.00 per month surcharge.

Amend Refuse Rates Within the City of Lodi and New Collection Mechanism October 21, 1992

Page - Three -

This program is designed to provide a strong incentive for the majority of our population to encourage recycling. By only having available a 38-gallon container for solid waste, people will be forced to utilize the opportunity to recycle or pay extra for additional capacity. For those who have little solid waste we can only hope they will avail themselves of the opportunity to recycle.

There is little doubt this program is expensive. It is very capital intensive. Rear-loader collection trucks will be rarely used as they require two people. Waste carts must be purchased. Finally, and the largest coat of all, is the expansion of the material recovery facility. This alone is a project in excess of \$4,000,000 which must be included in the rate.

It must be understood by the City Council that another rate adjustment is contemplated this spring. The initial estimates are that the increase will be 28%. However, I believe that increase will be significantly lower. We will certainly have a better handle on the actual costs of trucks, waste carts, interest, number of carte actually utilized, the actual costs of operation, and a more clearly defined cost of the Material Recovery Facility.

One means of increasing efficiency and thus reducing costs is to allow service to be shared by families in multi-unit residences. All of the ramifications of this have not been worked out and the City policy on sharing service must be rethought. This is certainly an item that can be considered and included in the implementation.

Amend Refuse Rates Within the City of Lodi and New Collection Mechanism

October 21, 1992

Page Four

The budget submitted includes over \$100,000 for community education and awareness. We envision utilizing newspaper and television advertising. One idea has been to contract to have a video-tape prepared that would be suitable for service clubs, church groups and the like.

This **program** cannot be implemented overnight, but by using equipment on hand, and "begging, borrowing and stealing" resources we can begin the first phase of the **program** within two weeks and have the whole city involved by February 1, 1993. The City would be divided into districts and each district would be implemented at the same time.

FUNDING: Operating Budget

Respectfully submitted,

Jerry L. Glenn

Assistant City Manager

Jeny L Olen

JLG:br

Prepared by Kirk Bvans

Administrative Assistant to the City Manager

Attachments

CCCOM622/TXTA.07A

TICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Date: October 21, 1992

Time: 7:30 p.m.

For information regarding this Public Hearing Please Contact:

Alice M. Relmche City Clerk Telephone: 333-6702

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

October 21, 1992

NOTICE **IS** HEREBY GIVEN that on Wednesday, at the hour of **7:30** p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, the City Council will conduct a public hearing to consider the following matter:

- a) Amending refuse rates within the City of Lodi
- b) New collection mechanism

Ail interested persons are invited to present their views and comments on this matter. Written statements may be filed with the City Clerk at any time prior to the hearing scheduled herein, and oral statements may be made at said hearing.

Ifyou challenge the subject matter in court, you may be limited to raising **only** those Issues you or someone else raised at the Public Hearing described in this notice or in written correspondence delivered to the City Clerk, 221 West Pine Street, at or prior to the Public Hearing.

By Order Of the Lodi City Council:

Alice M. Reimche Lug propositions

October 7, 1992

Dated:

Approved as to form:

Bobby W. McNatt City Attorney

ORDINANCE NO. 1558

AN UNCODIFIED URGENCY ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LODI AMENDING LODI MUNICIPAL CODE CHAPTER 13.16
RELATING TO RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE COLLECTION MONTHLY RATES

BE IT **ORDAINED** BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LODI AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Lodi Municipal Code Chapter 13.16 - SOLID WASTE - as it relates to residential solid waste collection monthly rates is hereby

Monthly rates.

amended to read as follows:

- A. The monthly rates to be charged for garbage collection service shall be as follows:
 - 1. For any private dwelling house or residence, the rate for one weekly garbage collection shall be:
 - a. For the first waste cart provided by the contractor of thirty-eight gallons and not to exceed sixty pounds, twelve dollars and eighty three cents (\$12.83);
 - b. For two waste carts provided by the contractor of thirty-eight gallons and not to exceed sixty pounds each, thirty two dollars and eight cents (\$32.08);
 - c. For three waste carts provided by the contractor of thirty-eight gallons and not co exceed sixty pounds each, filty one dollars and thirty-two cents (\$51.32).
- 2. Owners or occupants of flats, apartments, mobile home spaces or the tenants or lessees thereof shall pay an amount equal to twelve dollars and eighty-three cents (\$12.83) times the number of apartment

units or mobile home spaces sed. Bin service requested shall he charged according to the Commercial Rate structure, but in no event shall the City bill the tenant; more than the single cart rate.

- 3. For any residence requesting "backyard service" for the collection of their waste cart(s), there shall be an additional rate of ten dollars (\$10.00) per month, unless the residence is granted an exemption from the rate by the Citizen's Advisory Board.
- 4. For any residence requesting a commingled recyclables cart(s) and/or a yard/garden waste cart(s), sufficient to meet its waste diversion needs, there shall be no additional charge.
- 8. All of the rate schedules set forth in this section shall be effective on all bills which are prepared on or after November 1, 1992.

The above rates reflect the first in a series of rate adjustments. A second adjustment may be effective on all bills which are prepared on or after April 1, 1993.

<u>SECTION 2.</u> All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are repealed insofar as such conflict may exist.

<u>SECTION 3,</u> This is an urgency ordinance under Government Code Section 36934, and is based on health, safety **and** welfare considerations arising from the need to collect appropriate fees for waste removal.

SECTION 4. This urgency ordinance shall be published one time in the "Lodi News Sentinel", a daily newspaper of general circulation printed

and published in the City of Lodi and shall be in force and take effect immediately.

Approved thi) 21st day of October

JAMES W. PINKERTON, JR. MAYOR

Attest:

Thire & Brown ALICE M. REIMCHE City Clerk

State of California County of San Joaquin, ss.

I, Alice M. Raimche, City Clerk of the City of Lodi, do hereby certify that Ordinance No. 1558 was adopted as an urgency ordinance at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Lodi held October 21, 1992, and was thereafter passed, adopted and ordered to print by the following vote:

Ayes:

Council Members - Hinchman, Pennino, Snider and

Pinkerton (Mayor)

Noes:

Council Members - Sieglock

Absent :

Council Members - None

Abotain:

Council Members - None

I further certify that Ordinance No. 1558 was approved and signed by the ${\it Mayor}$ on the date of its passage and the same has been published pursuant to law.

> Were to Bunche ALICE M. REIMCHE

City Clerk

Approved as to Form

BOBBY W. MCNATT City Attorney

ORD1558/TXTA.02J

ORDINANCE NO. 1559

AN UNCODIFIED URGENCY ORDINANCE OF THE M D I CITY COUNCIL AMENDING LODI MUNICIPAL, CODE CHAPTER 13.16, RELATING TO COMMERCIAL SOLID WASTE COLLECTION MONTHLY RATES

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE LODI CITY COUNCIL.

<u>SECTION 1.</u> Lodi Municipal Code Chapter 13.16 - SOLID WASTE - as it relates to commercial solid waste collection monthly rates is hereby amended to read as follows:

Monthly rates.

- A. The monthly rates to be charged for garbage collection service shall be as follows:
 - 1. For owners or tenants of business houses, the monthly rates shall be:
 - a. As set forth in the Commercial Rate Structure schedule attached, when commercial bin service is requested.
 - b. Forty-five dollars (\$45.00) per month for once per week collection, when a commercial waste cart provided by the contractor of ninety-five gallons and not to exceed one hundred and fifty pounds is requested.
- 8. All of the rate schedules set forth in this section shall be effective on all bills which are prepared on or after November 1, 1992.

The above rates reflect the first in a series of rate adjustments. A second adjustment may be effective on all bills which are prepared on or after April 1, 1993.

SECTION 2. All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict hererith are repealed incofar as such conflict may exist.

<u>SECTION 3,</u> This is **an** urgency ordinance under Government Code Section 36934, and is based on health, safety and welfare considerations arising from the need to collect appropriate fees for waste removal.

SECTION 4. This urgency ordinance shall be published one time in the "Lodi News Sentinel", a daily newspaper of general circulation printed and published in the City of Lodi and shall be in force and take effect immediately.

Approved this 21st day of October

JAMES W. PINKERTON, JR.

MAYOR

Attest:

ALICE M. REIMCHE City Clerk The above rates reflect the first in a series of rate adjustments. A second adjustment may be effective on all bills which are prepared on or after April 1, 1993.

<u>SECTION 2.</u> All ordinances and **parts** of ordinances in conflict herewith are repealed insofar as such conflict may exist.

SECTION 3. This is an urgency ordinance under Government Code Section 36934, and is based on health, safety and welfare considerations arising from the need to collect appropriate fees for waste removal.

SECTION 4. This urgency ordinance shall be published one time in the "Lodi News Sentinel", a daily newspaper of general circulation printed and published in the City of Lodi and shall be in force and take effect immediately.

Approved this 21st day of October

JAMES W PINKERION IF

MAYOR

Attest:

Muce h. Francic ALICE M. REIMCHE City Clerk State of California County of San Joaquin, ss.

I, Alice M. Reimche, City Clerk of the City of Lodi, do hereby certify that Ordinance No. 1559 was adopted as an urgency ordinance at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Lodi held October 2:, 1992, and was thereafter paased, adopted and ordered to print by the following vote:

Ayes:

Council Members - Hinchman, Pennino, Snider and

Pinkerton (Mayor)

Noes:

Council Members. Sieglock

Absent:

Council Members - None

Abstain:

Council Members - None

I further certify that Ordinance No. 1559 was approved and signed by the $\bf Mayor$ on the date of its passage and the same has been published pursuant to law.

(icc. i. /vcn cr.-ALICE M. REIMCHE City Clerk

Approved as to Form

BOBBY W. McNATT City Attorney

ORD1559/TXTA.02J

CITY OF LODI

C MERCIAL RATE STRUCTURE SCHEDUL

•	Total	Frequency / We	ek				
of Bine	Cheacity of Bina	. 1	2	3	. 4	5	. 6
Bin Size	1	,	-	ŭ	•		•
							** *** ***
	1 1	\$76.43	\$152.86	£366.24	\$670.81	\$1,066.69	\$1.554 17 17 017 74
	2 7	3116.34	\$232.68	151335	5903 59	\$1,403.39	17 47 132
	3 3 4 4	\$156.25 \$196.18	\$31250	\$660 47 \$807 59	\$1,136.27	\$1,739.88 \$2,076.38	\$2,679.69
	5 5	\$238.07	\$392.31 \$472.13	\$954 71	\$1,358.94 \$1,601.62	\$2,412.88	\$3.388.47
	6 6	\$275.98	\$35195	\$1 101 52	\$1,534.30	\$2,749.37	\$3847.04
	ř	\$315.00	\$63177	\$1 248 94	\$2,066.97	\$3,085.87	\$4.305 a
	9 8	\$355.79	\$711,59	\$1.0% 08	\$2,209.65	53,422.38	\$4,764 19
	9 9	\$395.70	\$79141	\$1 543 18	\$2,532.33	\$3,758 85	\$5.222.77
1	0 10	\$435.61	\$871.22	\$1,690 29	\$2,765.00	\$4,095.35	\$5,881,34
Bin Bize	2						
	1 2	\$ 107,21	\$211 42	\$458.57	5794 03	\$1,220 79	\$1,738 85
	2 4	\$177.90	\$355 70	\$698.03	\$1,149.03	\$1.711.18	\$2,382,10
3	3 6	\$248.59	\$497 17	\$937.40	\$1,505.62	\$2,201.57	\$3.025 35
	1 5	\$319.27	\$638 55	\$1 176 94	\$1,861.41	\$2,691.95	\$3,568.60
	5 10	\$389.96	\$770 92	\$141840	\$2,217.21	\$3,182.09	¥4.311.85
		\$460 65	5921 30	\$1,655 25	\$2 573 Q	\$3,672.76	\$4.955 10
	7 14	\$53134	\$1,062.68	\$1 8D5 31	17928 79	\$4,183.14	\$5.598.35
	3 16 9 18	fC07.03 \$67272	\$1,204.06	\$2,134.76	\$3,284.59	\$4,653.53	\$0.241.00
1		\$743 43	\$1,345 U \$1,486.81	52 374 72 \$2,613 5 7	\$3,640.38 \$3,998,17	\$5,143.92 \$5,634.32	\$6.684.65 \$7.528.10
Bin Size:	3			30,0 10 1	••(•••(•)	70,00	
	1 3	*****	¢775 AA	¢ EE 0 01	6047 15	¢1.274 5 5	\$192052
	? 6	\$137 99 \$239 46	\$775 98 \$478 91	\$550 91 \$882 71	\$917 15 \$1,396 06	\$1.37409	\$2,751,45
	9	\$340.97	\$478 91 \$681 85	\$002 /1 \$1,214 50	\$1,396 CD	\$2,018.97 \$2,663.26	\$3,579.37
	12	\$442.39	\$884.78	\$1,546.29	\$2,353 88	\$3,307.55	\$4,407,30
	3 15	\$543.86	\$1,087.72	\$1,878.08	\$2,832.79	\$3,951.84	\$5 235.22
•	5 18	\$645.33	\$1,290.65	\$2,209 68	\$3,31170	\$4 598.13	\$8,063 15
7	7 21	\$746.79	\$1,493.59	\$2,541.67	\$3,790.61	\$5.240 41	\$8.091 07
8	3 24	5848 26	\$ 1.698 🖾	S2.87346	\$4,269.52	\$5,884.70	\$7,71900
Ģ		\$949.73	\$1.899 46	\$3.205 25	\$4,748 43	\$6,528 99	\$8 546 90
10	30	\$1 051 20	\$2,102 39	\$3,537.05	\$5,227 34	\$7,173.28	\$9,374 85
Bin Ste:	4						
	1 4	\$168.77	\$337 53	\$643.25	\$1,040 27	01,020.00	\$2,108.20
2		\$301.01	\$602 03	51.067 38	\$1,642,29	\$2,326 77	\$5'150 80
3		\$433.26	\$866 52	S1.491 51	\$2,244.32	\$3,124.95	94,133,40
4		\$565,51	\$1,131,02	\$1,915.64	52.846 35	\$3.923 13	\$5.146.00
5		\$697.75	\$1,395 51	\$2,339.77	\$3,448.39	\$4,721 32 \$5,519 50	16.158 6 0 \$7,171 2 0
3		\$830.00 \$962.2	\$1,660.00 \$1,924.50	\$2,763 90 \$3,188 03	\$4,050.40 \$4,652.43	\$8,317 🕾	\$8,183.80
		\$1,094.50	\$2,188.90	S3.612 17	\$5,254 46	\$7.11567	\$9,196.40
è		\$1,225.74	\$2,453.49	54,C36 30	\$5,856.49	\$7,914,05	\$10,209.00
10		\$1.358.89	52.717.98	\$4,460.43	\$6,458.51	S8.712 24	\$11,221.61
Bin Ste:	6						
j	1 5	\$199 55	\$399.09	\$755 59	\$1,163.38	S1,682,48	\$2,292 87
2		\$362 57	\$725 14	\$1,252.05	\$1,688.53	52,634 55	W,490 15
3		\$525.60	\$1,051.20	\$1,768.52	\$2,613.67	\$3,586.64	\$4,687,43
4		\$688.62	\$1,377,25	\$2 284.99	\$3,338.82	\$4,538.72	\$5,884,70
5	25	S851.65	\$1,703.30	\$2,801,48	\$4,063.98	\$5,490.80	\$7,081.95
6		\$1,014.68	\$2,029.35	53 317.90	\$4,789.11	\$6,442.86	\$8,279.25
7		\$1,177.70	\$2,3\$5.41	\$3,834 40	\$5.514 <i>2</i> 5	\$7,394.96	\$9,478.53
Ū		\$1,34073	\$2,681 48	\$4,350 87	\$6 239.40	\$8,347.04	\$10,873.81
9 10		\$1,500 76 51,666 78	\$3,333.56	\$4,867.34 \$5,383.80	\$6,964.54 \$7,639.63	\$9,299.12 \$10.251.20	\$11,871.08 \$13,068.36
		31.00010	\$5,553.50	\$3,303 00	\$1,003 CD	01010110	***************************************
Bin Size:	(I						
1		\$230.33	\$460 65	\$827.93	\$1,286 50	S1,836,37	\$2,477.55
2		S524.13	\$848.26	\$1,438.73	\$2,134.78	\$2,942.35	\$3.859.50
3		\$617.94	\$1,235 87	\$2,075.54	\$2,983.02	\$4.048.33	\$5,241,45
4		581174	\$1,623.48	52,654 34	\$3,831.28	\$5,154,00	\$6.623,40
5		\$1 005 55 \$1 10935	\$2.01100	\$3,283.15	\$4,679.55	\$6,260.28	\$8,005.38
6 7		\$1 10935	\$2,398.71	\$3 871 93	\$5,527.81	\$7,366.25 \$8,473.34	\$9,387.31
8		\$1 393 16 \$1 586 96	\$2,786.32 \$3,173.93	\$4 480 76 \$5 089 57	\$6,376 07 \$7,224.33	58,472.24 59,578.21	\$10,769.26 \$12,151.21
9		\$1.780.77	\$3,56154	\$5 698 30	\$7,224 33 \$8 072 59	\$10,684 10	\$13,533.16
10		\$1,074. 5 7	53,949 15	\$6 307 18	\$9 920 85	\$11,790.17	\$14.915.12

Mice

CITY OF LODI MEMORANDUM FROM THE OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

To:

The Honorable Mayor and Council Members

From:

Bob McNatt, City Attorney

Date:

October 19, 1992

Subject:

OCTOBER 21, 1992 COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM F14

(INDUSTRIAL WASTE FRANCHISE)

An error appears in Item F14 of the October 21, 1992 City Council agenda. The item incorrectly indicates that a public hearing should be set for November 18, 1992 to consider introduction of ordinances amending Chapter 13.16 of the Municipal Code and the franchise agreement to include industrial waste. In actuality, these ordinances (Ordinance No. 1512 and 1513) were introduced on May 1, 1991.

At that time, the City Council indicated it wished the ordinances to become effective at the same time that rates for industrial waste collection were set. To accomplish that, the ordinances were laid on **the** table pending receipt of industrial rate information from the franchises.

That information has now been received and the Council Can, if it wishes, go forward with final adoption of Ordinances 1512 and 1513, although they were introduced nearly 18 months ago. while Government Code Section 36934 specifies that at least 5 days must elapse between introduction and final adoption of an ordinance, I found no legal guidance about how long a lapse between introduction and adoption voids the first reading. As such, it is assumed that the introduction is still valid and the Council can act on final adoption if it wishes.

Staff met with representatives of Lodi Sanitary City Disposal Company on Monday, October 19, 1992 to decide how the various intertwined ordinances should be presented to the Council for consideration. It appears the most practical way of handling this is as follows:

Council Meeting Date

Action

October 21, 1992

- -> Adopt residential and commercial rate
 Ordinances 1558 and 1559 as urgency measures
 (one reading only).
- -> Set second reading and final adoption of Ordinances 1512 and 1513 addressing industrial waste for the next regular Council meeting (November 4, 1992).

November 4. 1992

- -> Possible final adoption of Ordinances 1512 and 1513, amending Lodi Municipal Code Chapter 13.16 and the refuse franchise to include industrial waste.
- -> Adopt urgency ordinance setting industrial rates (one reading only).

The Honorable Mayor and Council Members October 19, 1992 Page Two

Several options were discussed, but the **above** proposal seems to dovetail together in the most efficient fashion.

It is therefore requested that Item F14 on the consent calendar for the October 21, 1992 Council meeting be removed, and the second reading of Ordinances 1512 and 1513 be scheduled for November 4, 1992.

Respectfully submitted,

BOB MCNATT

City Attorney

BM: vc

cc: City Manager

Assistant City Manager

Administrative Assistant to City Manager

City Clerk

REFUSE18/TXTA.01V

ORDINANCE NO. 1512

AN ORDINANCE OF THE LODI CITY COUNCIL AMENDING LODI MUNICIPAL CODE CHAPTER 13.16 - SOLID WASTE - TO PROVIDE FOR THE ADDITION OF INDUSTRIAL REFUSE SERVICE.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE LODI CITY COUNCIL, as follows:

Lodi Municipal Code Chapter 13.16 - Solid Waste is hereby mended to provide for the addition thereto of industrial refuse service, as follows:

13.16.020. Required Services: Exceptions,

A. *Every person owning or occupying residential, commercial or industrial premises within the City limits shall utilize the refuse collection and transportation services of Contractor for which the City has contracted, and shall pay the fees for those services as set by the City. Each owner or occupier of residential, commercial or industrial property shall directly arrange with the City for the provision of services. ..."

13.16.040. All Requests for Service to be Met,

*Contractor shall provide refuse collection and transportation services to all residential, commercial and industrial customers within the area specified in its contract..."

13.16.050. Placement of Containers.

A. *,, B. commercial and Industrial:

"Commercial <u>and industrial</u> customers shall place refuse in a location on their premises that is readily accessible to Contractor's collection vehicles, **and** that has received Contractor's prior approval as conforming to its specifications and requirements for refuse container facilities. ..."

13.16.080. Container Maintenance.

"... It shall be the responsibility of commercial and industrial customers to maintain refuse containers in a clean and sanitary condition, ... "

13.16.120 Monthly Rates .

"The City shall establish by uncodified ordinance of the City Council, pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 5471, a schedule of rates to be charged ... Such schedule shall set the rates for commercial, residential, and <u>industrial</u> refuse collection, and refuse permits."

SECTION 2. All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are repealed insofar as such conflict may exist.

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be published one time in the "Lodi News Sentinel", a daily newspaper of general circulation printed and published in the City of Lodi and shall be in force and take effect thirty days from and after its passage and approval.

Approved	this	day of	 1932
JAMES w.	PINKERTON,	JR.	

At test:

ALICE M. REIMCHE City Clerk

state of California County of San Joaquin, ss.

I, Alice M. Reimche, City Clerk of the City of Lodi, do hereby certify that Ordinance No. 1512 was introduced at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Lodi held May 1, 1991, and was thereafter passed, adopted and ordered to print at a regular meeting of said Council held ______, 1992 by the following vote:

Ayes:

Council Members •

Noes:

Council Members •

Absent :

Council Members -

Abstain:

Council Members -

I further certify that Ordinance No. 1512 was approved and signed by the Mayor on the date of its passage and the same has been published pursuant to law.

ALICE M. REIMCHE City Clerk

Approved as to Form

BOBBY W. McNATT City Attorney

ORD1512/TXTA.01V

ORDINANCE NO. 1513

AN ORDINANCE OF THE LODI CITY COUNCIL
AMENDING THE CITY'S EXCLUSIVE FRANCHISE
FOR WASTE DISPOSAL SERVICES TO INCLUDE INDUSTRIAL WASTE

WHEREAS, on September 28, 1988 under Ordinance 1433, the Lodi City Council granted to Lodi Sanitary City Disposal Company, Inc. the exclusive right to collect all residential and commercial waste within the City Limits; and

WHEREAS, it now appears necessary and desirable to modify that Agreement to include the collection of industrial waste; and

WHEREAS, the Lodi City Council hereby dotermines pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 40059 that the public health, safety and well being require that refuse collection services in the City of Lodi, including collection of industrial waste. be provided by means of an exclusive contract without competitive bidding; and

WHEREAS, Lodi Sanitary City Disposal Company, Inc., a California corporation, is qualified to perform such refuse collection services by means of such contract in the interests of public health, safety, and well being;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE LODI CITY COUNCIL ORDAINS as follows:

SECTION 1, The Agreement entered into between the City and Lodi Sanitary City Disposal Company, Inc. on September 7, 1988 is hereby amended as described in the Addendum to the Agreement attached hereto.

<u>SECTION 2.</u> All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are repealed insofar as such conflict may exist.

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be published one time in the "Lodi News Sentinel", a daily newspaper of general circulation printed and published in the City of Lodi and shall be in force and take effect thirty days from and after its passage and approval.

Approved	this	day of,	1992
JAMES W. Mayor	PINKERTON,	JR.	_

Attest:

ALICE M. REIMCHE City Clerk

state of California County of San Joaquin, ss.

I, Alice M. Reimche, City Clerk of the City of Lodi, do hereby certify that Ordinance No.1513 was introduced at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Lodi held May 1, 1991 and was thereafter passed, adopted and ordered to print at a regular meeting of said Council held ______, 1992 by the following vote:

Ayes:

Council Members -

Noes:

Council Members -

Absent :

Council Members -

Abstain:

Council Members -

I further certify that Ordinance No. 1513 was approved and signed by the Mayor on the date of its passage and the same has been published pursuant to law.

ALICE M. REIMCHE City Clerk

Approved as to Form

BOBBY W. McNATT City Attorney

ORD1513/TXTA.01V

MODIFICATION TO AGREEMENT

THIS MODIFICATION TO THE AGREEMENT DATED SEPTEMBER 7, 1988 (the Agreement) is entered into this _____ day of _______, 1992, by and between the parties to the original Agreement. All terms of the original Agreement, save and except those additions, deletions, and modifications specified herein, shall continue.

RECITALS

Paragraph 1 (Definitions) is modified by the addition thereto of a subsection (d) as follows:

d. INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS

ALL INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS.

Paragraph 2 shall read:

2. "Scope of Agreement

"Contractor shall furnish all materials and equipment required for the orderly collection of refuse on a regularly-scheduled basis to all residential, INDUSTRIAL, and commercial customers
... "

Paragraph 3 shall be modified to read:

3. "Exclusive Nature of Agreement

"Contractor shall have within the City limits, subject to the limitations contained in this Agreement, the exclusive right and duty to collect and transport to a site designated by the City all RESIDENTIAL COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL refuse boexcept industrial refuse boexcept."

Paragraph 6 shall be modified by the addition thereto of a subparagraph c. as follows:

C. Industrial Customers

(Collection schedule to be added.)

paragraph 8 shall be modified, to include industrial collection, as follows:

*8. <u>Hours of Collection</u>

" ... INDUSTRIAL COLLECTION SHALL BE MADE ON AN "AS NEEDED"

BASIS, SUBJECT TO AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CONTRACTOR AND

INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMER".

Paragraph 30 shall be modified to delete reference to Lodi Municipal Code Section 13.16.060 which section no longer relates to insurance liability, as follows:

"30. Liability Insurance

"... In this connection, Contractor agrees to furnish the City with evidence of <u>compliance</u> with the reduirements of <u>section</u>

11/16/060 of the Lodi Municipal Code/ i/e// furnishing exidence

of Workers' Compensation Insurance coverage and a certificate of insurance showing a minimum of \$500,000 for damage to property, and \$1,000,000 for injury to persons, with the City of Lodi named as an additional insured on said policy."

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto Save set their hands the day and year first hereinabove mentioned.

CITY OF LODI, a municipal corporation

LODI SANITARY DISPOSAL COMPANY, INC.

THOMAS A. PETERSON City Manager DAVID VA CAREZZA General Manager

ATTEST :

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ALICE M. REIMCHE City Clerk BOBBY W. McNATT City Attorney

AGRREFUS/TXTA.01V

- 1. There are two sensors in our home and we don't need or want 3 garbage cans. We will be forced to pay for something we cann't use or want.
- 2. If the citizens half to sort there own garbage then why are we paying more. We are doing there work so we should be paying less.
- 3. There are we going to keep 3 garbare can when we don't have enought room along the sides of our home.

Thank You

Mac Bill Romh 2436 Corbin So. Loshi, La. 95242 To alice Reinche Sity Clark Sity Council Extrembers

Since unable to be present at the Oct 21st Meeting from hearing Pertaining to garbage fee hike. I was advised by gerry Glenn, to Write a note. and you would read it at the Council meeting and hearing. Requesting a review for the new garbage rates Presently I have a 30 gellon Container basic rate 7.25. Since my husband Passed away almost a year ago and now rarely Place three small gracery bags in the Can. Other recycleables I give to my neighbors toward their school Credit I he gardener takes Shrub, + Lawn Clipping. 'I hank you for your time and Consideration on this matter

maj sparly income is below 15%

Mrs Afred L Rose

TO: LODI CITY COUNCIL

FROM: NANCY L. OLSON

18800 LORI COURT WOODBRIDGE, CA

95258

Although I live in Woodbridge, I work and Shop in Lodi. I care deeply about the quality of life in Lodi and applaud the foresight you have shown in the past by passing the trailblazing Lodi smoking ordinance.

I urge you to approve the proposal recommended by California Waste Management Systems because It contains the same qualities. It addresses current as well as future solid waste problems. It creates jobs, reduces the landfill usage, recovers reusable natural resources, and is far-sighted. California Waste is a locally-owned business run by people who care about our community, children and schools. Their proposal is supported by current fesearch and is at the cutting edge in the waste management industry.

Lodi deserves the best. Please continue to give them the test waste management service by allowing California Waste to move ahead with this innovative, environmentally-aware recommendation which focuses on the long term rather than short term

Thank you,

Nancy L. Olson

October 21, 1992

Lodi City Council Call **Box** 3006 Lodi, CA 95241-1910

Dear Council Members:

I am writing regarding the "three cart system" being proposed by California Waste Removal System.

With the mandates being passed down by the State of California and with the amounts of recyclable trash being thrown away by the people of this state, I really feel that we have no choice but to enforce this proposal. I am sure that there will be many people who will object to this being done, not necessarily because of the three cart system, but because of the fact that it will cost more each month to have this plan implemented. You never find anyone in favor of increases in monthly services. On the other hand, what is being done for the people, to say nothing of the environment, cannot be done without an increase.

If people are concerned about this world and what is happening to it then we need to stand together to make it better. This does not simply mean that the people who can afford it or the people with families who naturally accumulate more trash should pay for it. We have all caused this problem. Our parents and grandparents helped (however unknowingly) create this situation and now we all need to work together and pay whatever to resolve it.

Recycling has to be done. Recycling costs money. These are the facts and we need to accept them in order to make this world a better place for the children who will inherit it.

Very truly yours

Margaret Acosta

15 N. California Street

! Jayares Scorts

Lodi, CA 95240

October 21, 1992

Lodi City Council City Hall 221 W. Pine Street Lodi, California 95240

Dear City Council Members:

Recycling would bring us into closer compliance with the citizens mandate of AB 939 and avoid the costly fines of non-compliance. This will save our shrinking landfill space and allow us to reuse valuable commodities.

California Waste Removal Systems, Inc. is the company to help us do just that. They are a reliable company. They keep their business here in Lodi and this program will provide needed jobs right here in Lodi.

California Waste Removal Systems, Inc. has my support in this new collection systems. It is time we do this as a community.

Respectfully .

John Borelli

Ŷ,

RECEIVE OF THE

Siry Para

2360 Brittany Lane Lodi, CA 95242 October 21, 1992

The Honorable James Pinkerton and Honorable City Council Members City of Lodi 221 West Pine Street Lodi, CA 95240

Dear Mr. Pinkerton and Council Numbers:

Tonight before you California Waste Removal Systems, Inc. is presenting a proposal that I feel will further enhance and support Lodi's outstanding recycling efforts. I urge you all to support the program California Waste is presenting to you, as it will reduce the usage of our landfills, preserve the quality of our environment and continue to educate our children and ourselves to a new way of thinking about refuse.

My greatest concern regarding this program was the difficulties that might be encountered by the handicapped and senior citizens. In speaking directly with the owners of California Waoce, I was assured that assistance with the recycling bins will be offered.

Although there will be a fee increase, we must understand that the costs to us at a later date will be far greater; and the issue of waste management will no!: go away. We need to act now!

Sincerely,

Janet Dillon

October 20, 1992

Mayor Jim Pinkerton
Lodi City Council
Call Box 3006
Lodi, CA 95241-1910

Dear Mayor Pinkerton:

The State of California has mandated that cities reduce their landfill uscage. I believe most citizens would agree that this is the right thing to do both socially and environmentally.

The three-cart system and the related rate changes proposed by the task force provide a sound starting point in achieving these goals. Public awareness of our need to recycle is not enough if the average citizen feels that the system in place is inconvenient or too cumbersome to implement. That's why the three cart system makes sense. Its easier to implement because there is less sorting on behalf of the citizen and easier to place out on the curbside. Its unfortunate that we've continued to neglect our responsibility to properly manage the disposal of our solid wastes because increased costs are always associated with corrective measures. However, if the proposed program is adopted, I believe that progress towards these goals will be made at a reasonable cost increase.

To explore other alternative means or stop-gap measures to tack this issue would only result in more cries of government "gridlock" and may not end up being cost beneficial considering the time and expense in developing another program. From reading the newspaper articles, California Waste Removal Systems, Inc. has shown its willingness to cooperate with the City of Lodi by scaling down the original program. They have a proven track record in working with the City of Lodi in its waste management programs and in keeping Lodi a clean community in which to live.

We need to step-up our recycling efforts. The plan before you fits into the long-term planning which the City of Lodi needs to take to preserve our environment and our community.

Sincerely,

Bruce and Joy Sasaki

Bu Soll.

3026 Rosewood Drive

Lodi, CA 95242

CITY SERVICES, INC.

October 19, 1992

RECEIVED

SECTIVE CLERK
OUTLY CLERK
OUTLY OF LCO

City of Lodi City Clerk & City Council 221 W. Pine Street Lodi, CA 95240

Dear Mayor and Members of the Lodi City Council:

Over the past eight years, City Services, Inc., has been associated with the solid waste industry, specifically waste cart issues.

We have seen first hand how multicart systems have been successfully employed in Germany, Waste reduction and recycling in Germany has far surpassed the United States, primarily due to limited landfill capacity. Europeans recycle everything from hearing-aid batteries to construction and demolition debris. It is time we faced our solid waste problem. The multicart system is a proven residential waste reduction system.

In my business travels, I have encountered many recycling/transfer station operations. California Waste Removal Systems rates at the very top. As an industry innovator, David Vaccarezza and his crew are top notch.

Solid waste issues can no longer be ignored. The multicart system offers long term solutions for our ongoing solid waste challenges.

Sincerely,

Mark Schultz President

MS:dh

October 15,1992

Lodi City Council Call **Box 3006** Lodi, Ca. **95241**

19 11 0, 71

州外原門

ATTN: City council Members

Dear Gentlemen,

I would like to comment on the city's proposed recycling program. I am pleased to see Lodi taking a positive and practical step to significantly reduce the amount of solid waste we send to our local landfill. The proposed plan seems to be both workable and affordable.

For the last four years I have been teaching recycling and concern for the environment to my third grade class in Stockton. Children today do realize that our earth is at risk from the effects of pollution. They also realize that we adults too often "talk" about environmental problems without being willing to take action to solve these problems. I am pleased to see Lodi taking action on this important issue.

My family and I look forward to participating in this program in the very near future.

Sincerely yours,

Brenda Nicholas

F17 Tare Place

517 Tara Place Lodi, Ca. **95240**

(209) 333-1872

RECEIVED 17 70000

Open letter to City Council/Lodi News sentinel

After reading about the garbage fee hike and all the disputes going on at the City Council meetings I feel a letter of SUPPORT for the PROPOSED PLAN is necessary.

We as Citizens of Lodi are so concerned about our recycling programs that we are teaching those programs to kindergarten students in our schools, we all want Lodi to have the best of recycling programs, however, we don't want to have to pay for it. The BUCK CAN'T be the responsibility of California Waste Removal Systems. We all have to do our share so that we will not only comply with new laws that are going to be imposed on all cities but to insure that we have a place to put future garbage in the coming years,

Garbage is something that we all have so why do we think we do not have to pay to have it disposed of. We have to pay for our sewer systems, lights, gas, water and all the other services we as humans need to survive, Garbage is no different — we use, throw away and then expect our local garbage man to pick it up for nothing. Sorry citizens, think again — there is no free ride even on a garbage truck. There are special circumstances that some of our citizens may have but I am sure within our city Council they can come up with a way to solve such problems. It seems that California Waste has tried to accommodate in every way they can, to help all the citizens who have special needs, We have dial—a-ride for those who can't drive themselves to city hall to petition the review board. Those who can't use dial—a-ride can make petitions in writing or by calling city hall. So, citizens of Lodi, let's get this program going and get on with the future business of our community.

Dodie Aggerhol

October 16, 1992

Lodi City Council Call Box 3006 Lodi, CA 95241-9501

Dear Council Members:

I had the opportunity in June of 1992 to work with California Waste Removal Systems as the director and production consultant for a video featuring the 3 cart system. I spent the better part of ten days working on this production and it enlightened me to our landfill problem in California.

I live and work in **Stockton** and there is no action being taken here to address the garbage problem and provide recycling programs. People in Lodi should feel fortunate to have a waste hauler and a city government with a progressive approach to these issues. The problem of garbage and landfills **is our** problem and it is **up** to each of us to do **our** part in **solving** the problems.

During the taping of the video, I had the opportunity to talk to various residents about the 3 cart system. While taping on South Pleasant Avenue, we placed 15 sets of carts along the street to set up a shot. Naturally homeowners came out to see what we were doing and after a brief explanation of the system, I founa everyone to be receptive about this method. During the segment showing the existing system of backyard collection I was astounded to see what the drivers had to go through to access some of these cans. I certainly believe that a curbside collection to be the best method to collect trash and recyclables.

I understand that a decision to accept the 3 carts in Lodi is before the City Ccuncil. I certainly hope that council members have had the chance I had to observe and understand this great system and the wisdom to utilize it. I hope you make it possible for the rest of Lodi to use it as a way to throw away less and recycle more.

Sincerely,

James E. Johnston 111

October 20, 1992

Tor Mayor Jim Pinkerton Jack Sieglock Randy Snider Phil Pennino Dave Hinchman

Dear City Council Members:

I am writing to support the adoption of a new rate structure for collection of waste in Lodi. You have spent several work sessions exploring all aspects of this proposal and have experienced through the pilot program how easy it is to make this social change. A change that will benefit the environment and in addition the lite of future generations.

At your work sessions you have asked for input from the industrial and commercial sectors) you have given consideration to hard—ship carom; you have negotiated to keep the rate increment as small as possible and you have struggled with the issue of convenience.

I applaced you for your efforts and urge you to adopt the new rate changes and the three-cart system. Your strong leadership is urgently needed.

Sincerely,

Barbara Bowers 419 Swallow Lane Lodi, CA 95240

cci Colifornia Waste



400 North Cluft P.O. Box 1240

C//
Lodi, California 95241 209/368-9855

OCTOBER 19, 1992

LODI CITY COUNCIL P.O. BOX 3006 LODI, CA 95241-1910

DEAR CITY COUNCILMEN:

I HAVE FOLLOWED THE WASTF DISPOSAL PROPOSAL BY CALIFORNIA

WASTE IN THE LODI NEW SENTINEL. ALTHOUGH WE UNDERSTAND THERE WILL

BE AN INITIAL RATE INCREASE, WE FEEL IT WILL BE MORE COSTLY IN THE

LONG RUN IF THEIR PROPOSAL IS NOT IMPLEMENTED.

WE SUPPORT CALIFORNIA WASTE/PROPOSAL

YOURS SINCERE

CARY GUTHRA

GUTHRIE INC.

cc. BILL FERRER∪ ÇALIFORNIA WASTE John Newbold P. O. Box 246 Woodbridge, CA 95258

October 20, 1992

Lodi *City* Council Call Box **3006** Lodi, **CA 95241-1910**

RE: LODIS WASTE REDUCTION, RECYCLING AND TRASH COLLECTION PROGRAMS

Dear Lodi City Council,

While not an expert on trash management, I have followed the discussions of Lodi's long-term **plans** for waste reduction, recycling and trash collection programs. I commend the *City* of Lodi, the **City** Council and California Waste Removal **Systems** for developing recycling alternatives to reduce landfill waste.

It appears to **me** that the proposed increase in garbage rates **to** fund an aggressive recycling program will be money wisely spent, Money spent **now** on the front end of **trash** management will reap future **dividends** through conserving resources and landfill space.

I understand that this garbage rate increase will be **an** added financial burden on **those** with fixed incomes **and/or** low incomes. The options of a citizens advisory review board appeal or developing subsidized moneys **through** a franchise fee would be **helpful** solutions in limiting the financial burden on hardship cases.

The lack of backyard pickup in the new collection program will take some getting used to, for some, but in the long-term the routine of rolling out the carts will be just another one of those things you do during the week. By automating and the three cart system, Cal Waste can reduce man-hours and over-time cost for trash service. The \$10 monthly surcharge seems a minimal fee for those that want to pay for the backyard service. For those incapable of bringing the carts to the curb, they could appeal to the review board. I presume that this would be a very small minority. The Cal Waste drivers typically know who these people are and they can work directly with them or they could note on their bill from Cal Waste the need for backyard pickup.

I am aware of a host of Cal Waste efforts to reduce its costs in managing waste for the City of Lodi. We should all be thankful that we have such a responsible, progressive and considerate waste management company as Cal Waste. California Waste Removal Systems has proven over and over through their responsiveness to community concerns that they are "People Who Care" This proposed recycling plan is an example of their desire to be prepared for the City of Lodi's future waste management.

I appreciate your time and consideration of my opinions. I look forward to the new recycling program.

Respectfully submitted,

John Newbold

October 20, 1992

Tor Mayor Jim Pinkerton
Jack Sieglock
Randy Snider
Phil Pennino
Dave Hinchman

Dear City Council Members:

I am writing to support the adoption of a new rate structure for collection of waste in Lodi. You have spent several work sessions exploring all aspects of this proposal and have experienced through the pilot program how same it is to make this social change. A change that will benefit the environment and in addition the life of future generations.

At your work sessions you have asked for input from the industrial and commercial sectors; you have given consideration to hard-ship cases; you have negotiated to keep thr rate increment as small as possible and you have struggled with the issue of convenience.

I applaud you for your efforts and urge you to adopt the new rate changes and the three-cart system. Your strong loadership is urgently needed.

Sincerely,

Barbara bowers 419 Swallow Lane Lodi, CA 93240

cc: California Waste

October 19, 1997

Honorable James Pinkerton, Mayor City of Lodi Call Box 3006 Lodi, California 95241-1910

Dear Mayor Pinkerton.

I am writing this letter concerning an issue that is presently before you, that being the future and direction of Lodi's solid waste management program.

Legislation mandates that cities develop and implement a program which will effectively reduce the tons of refuse going to the landfill. I feel that their are only two ways a resident can reduce that volume of refuse.

The first is to control at home what needs to be thrown away. Conscientious shopping and in home reuse can help to reduce the amount of garbage that makes it into the waste cart.

The second is by recycling. Recycling is a mindset that needs to be taught at an early age and then continually reinforced. Recycling is probably the most effective method of diverting material that would otherwise end up in the landfill.

I believe the 3-cart system is the most efficient way to meet the requirements of AB939. I do not feel that the learning curve the public will experience concerning multiple waste carts will be an insurmountable one. Public awareness and hands-on participation is exactly what needs to take place, in a situation such as this one. This problem is not the City's, but rather the citizens.

I am also very concerned about the lack of a household hazardous waste program in our city. Local paint stores must sell hundreds of gallons of paint thinner alone in a month and I believe that monthly there must be an equal amount of used paint thinner being stored in garages or improperly disposed of. This is an accident waiting to happen.

Lodi is very fortunate to have California Waste Removal Systems as it's franchise refuse hauler. They put a tremendous amount back into this city in the form of employment for Lodi residents who in turn spend their money locally, spending their own corporate dollars locally. reimbursement to the schools through the present curbside recycling program and classroom education programs which tomorrow s citizens for recyclina. prepare help to Additionally, it seems that we have gone a long time without a rate increase for our refuse service and maybe the proposed fee hikes are not out of line.

I support a long term quality plan for Lodi s refuse and recycling program and do understand that this common be accomplished without an increase ir our rates.

Sincerely.

Jack Fiori

810 W. Walnut Lodi, Ca. 95240

368-6185

October 19, 1992

Lodi City Council Call Box 3006 Lodi, CA. 95241-1910

To the Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing in support of Garbage pickup service as suggested by a Trash Task Force for the new recyling service for Lodi. I am totally in favor of the program advocated by the Task Force. The three cart system that the Task Force suggested should be adopted, as it was designed to meet standards required by the State.

The cost of the program, even if increased to \$20, would be insignificant to the total cost of most home budgets.

Councilman Sieglock's, recent remark that this is a raid on the Bank does not relate to reality and I would assume was an unthought remark.

The \$11.90 rate that I am currently charged Is a bargain, when you consider it picks up all my waste at my front door and I do not have to lift a finger.

When I relate my garbage pickup fees to my phone, gas, PCE, and Cable TV, I feel the trash rates are inexpensive.

Since the program has been studied by the Task Force for helping the City comply with the State mandated reduction, I believe it is the time to get into action and stop talking, in the words of Ross Perot.

Sincerely

Seldon C. Brusa

SB/ds

AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER



Love tely Present Love, la 95240 October 20,1992

Mar lity Pauvil Rendus,

Those a huseness in Lode, Hawener, I line rutaide off lines - preventing me from Nature in oty Metrona. I ful this is the heat way for me of ut you know how I ful result the proposal by latifacina Waste. Recycling and recovery of natural resources is long term planning for the fution. We must value land fine ladge! The latifacia Waste umoral popularies recycling programs will help us rehim that gral brook, or the family recycling from the ford fill - poveing us A & prosecuting rue purious land.

Califarnia Warte apenational lasts are spont in of mi at of the lucinosism. California Worth emplageo of mi residents week an annual pagall y 3 million cohech stap in the commenty



California Waste has an educational pragrand
that has marched 1,800 class rooms in the
last 3 cpair. les a mouet of the neighing
progran retignia participated in California
Waste has danated \$5,000.00 & lacal
Perent-I well- lesses. for the pest
figure.
The aconus line in & sie & support
local Community & Chautible Wests! They
Naw Mant "deverie, Somewha Smi".

Phiase perentles proposal.

Severely Their Expers



October 19,1992

Lodi City Council Call Box 3006 Lodi, CA 95241-9501

Re: Proposed Recycling Program

Dear Council Members.

I have taken the time to write this letter because I have been following the articles in the Lodi News Sentinel about recycling and I would hate to see Lodi lose it's chance to recycle more and dispose of less. I am aware of the state law that says we as residents of California must recycle more and throw away less or we are subject to severe fines. As a business owner and as a resident of Lodi, I am concerned about rates as well as what is good for the community socially and environmentally.

I think the 3 cart system will provide the means for Lodi to achieve the goals set forth by state laws and as residents of Lodi, we must do our part to support and participate in such a program.

Please consider the alternatives if you do not adopt this program, higher landfill costs, no future for our children from an environmental standpoint, and fines for not meeting state laws.

thumas

Sincerely.

Ron Haworth

OCTOBER 20,1992

LODI CITY COUNCIL CALL BOX 3006 LODI, CA. 95241-9501

DEAR COUNCIL MEMBERS:

OUR BUSINESS HAS HAD MANY YEARS OF EXPERIENCE WITH CALIFORNIA WASTE REMOVAL SYSTEMS. THEIR SERVICE OF OUR BUSINESS HAS BEEN WITH THE UPMOST EFFTCIENCY.

ON A PERSONAL, NOTE:, AS A MEMBER OF THIS COMMUNITY, I APPRECIATE THE FACT THAT WE: HAVE A WASTE REMOVAL COMPANY CONCERNED ABOUT OUR ENVIRONMENT IN WHICH WE LIVE. IT IS A FACT THAT WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO RECYCLE AS MUCH OF OUR WASTE AS POSSIBLE. THERE IS ONLY SO MUCH LAND. ONCE THAT IS GONE WHERE ARE WE GOING TO PUT OUR TRASH?

AS A MOM I AM DELIGHTED THAT CALIFORNIA WASTE REMOVAL, SYSTEMS HAS AN EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM IMFORMINC OUR CHILDREN (THE FUTURE OF TOMORROW) ABOUT RECYCLING. THEY ARE LEARNING ABOUT RECYCLING AND HOW WE CAN IMPROVE OUR ENVIRONMENT IN WHICH WE LIVE.

CALIFORNIA WASTE REMOVAL SYSTEMS HAS PROVEN IN THE PAST THAT IT CARES ABOUT LODI IN THE FACT THAT THEY EMPLOY MANY LOCAL RESIDENTS, DONATE: TO MANY ORGANIZATIONS, HELP WITH SCHOOL FUND RAISERS THROUGH RECYCLING PROGRAMS AND THE LIST GOES ON AND ON.

WE NEED TO BE AWARE OF WHAT WE DO IN THE PRESENT EFFECTS THE FUTURE AS WELL. THE DECISION OF ACCEPTING THE 3 CART SYSTEM IS A STEP TOWARD PROGRESS. CALIFORNIA WASTE REMOVAL SYSTEMS BELIEVES IN THIS FACT, BECAUSE IT IS WILLING TO COOPERATE WITH THE CITY REGARDING RATE INCREASES. WE ASK THAT THE CITY COUNCIL WILL USE ITS BEST JUDGEMENT CONCERNING THIS ISSUE. WHAT WE DECIDE TODAY WILL EFFECT TOMORROW.

SINCERLY.

GARY AND ROBYN MARKLE

RobyW 1 marke

GARY'S SIGNS AND SCREEN PRINTING

1620 S. ACKERMAN DRIVE

LODI, CA. 95240

Gierhant-Wells & Donahue **FUNERAL HOME**

128 North School Street • Lodi, California 95240 (209) 868-8444

October 21st, 1992

Lodi City Council Lodi, California

Dear Sirs,

Since we are unable to be present at the city council meeting tonight, October 21st, 1992, to express our opinion regarding the new proposal from California Waste Removal Systems. We are in favor of this and would like to see the council members vote in favor of this.

We feel this will greatly help with the recycling program.

Respectfully,

Pat & Muy Sonakue Pat & Merry Donahue,

Owners of Gierhart-Wells & Donahue

Funeral Home



Mean Diro,

We think the California Waste Remova (Diptems new proposal is a wonderful idea. Would like to see it passed.

Thank you,
I da Lazorio
Doyle Homi Cardwell
4174 yekuts Drive
Lodi, CA



1826 W. Kettleman Land Lodi, California 95240 (209) 333 1991

October 21, 1992

Lodi City Council Via Facsimile

Gentlemen:

I want to sncourage you to approve the new waste management plan. What you have been presented appears to be the best long term solution to meet standards set by the state and to recycle the greatest amount of materials possible.

The Vaccarezza family is a leader and innovator in the waste industry and has served the city well for many years. Let's let them do what they do best and even give them some incentive to lower costs if they can.

Very sincerely,

Joseph K. Handel

JKH: ge

jh1021

Sunset Realty

233 SOUTH SUNSET DRIVE, LOD! CALIFORNIA 95240 . (209) 368-8858

October 21, 1992

City of Lodi City Council Members 221 W. Pine St. Lodi, CA 35240

Dear City of Lodi Council Members,

I support the waste reduction, recycling and trash collection programs proposed by California Waste that are before you this evening.

I believe the residents of Lodi are fortunate to have a waste collection company such as California Waste that is innovative and pro-active in dealing with a concern that each and every citizen of Lodi comes in touch with - garbage. If it is not addressed now (which state law does require us to do!, it will no longer exist as just a concern but yet another problem that everyone will scurry to find a solution to.

The implications of not dealing properly with our refuge *now* is a frightening thought for me and especially for my children and grandchildren and 1 encourage each council member to vote favorably for this program.

Sincerely yours,

Lane Pearson



October 21, 1992

The Honorable Mayor and City Council (it of Lodi) 221 West Pine Street Lodi, Ci. 95240

Gentlemen:

Mr Mayor, council Members and those in the audience. I am Richard Warner of 15 Rio Vista Drive Lodi, Ca., the current President of the Casa de Lodi Mobile Home Owners Association. Our Board of Directors has directed me to express our concerns regarding the proposed garbage service rates, which will effect those 241 units within in Casa de Lodi.

This definitely is not a hardship presentation, but one of fairness and of equalizing the cost of services related to the amount and volume of that service. All 241 residences privately owned and located on leased lots in Casa de Lodi. Dimensions approximate 50 feet x 70 feet or 3500 square feet. Residents average 1400 square feet of floor space with additional ground square footage for carports, covered porches and walk ways of another 1100 sq feet. This leaves only a total of 1000 square feet for landscaping. We must adhere to the California Residency Law and the Rules and Regulations of Casa de Lodi Mobile Home Estates which prohibits storage under the residence and limits outside storage in approved sheds not exceeding a total of 100 square feet. Mest of the landscaping is in the form of colored rock, with a few chrubs and potted plants. Consequently we at present have absolutely no requirements, nor room, for storage for a 90 gallon compost container. What small amount of trimmings generated is easily disposed of by the individual home owner. In compagison, the average City of Lodi Residential lot for home averages 7000 square foot with the home around 1800 square feet with garage, driveway, walks, patios etc. taking up another 1800 square feet. This leaves an area of 4200 square feet for landscaping and other uses.

People create the garbage!! In the 241 units in Casa de Lodi, 102 are occupied by a single persons and 139 by two people. It also is an adult Park, which requires minimum ages of 55 years. This people distribution means, 1.57 people per unit, whereas, in Lodi residential homes there are approximately 3 people per household. More people more garbage!! Most people in our park are retired and in moving down into smaller surroundings they have left behind for the children, relatives, friends and or donated to the Salvation Army, Goodwill etc. those items from the family home which would not fit into these smaller quarters. In other words we don't accumulate much garbage and it is very obvious, there is less garbage generated by our Casa de Lodi residents.

Our analysis of the present methods of garbage pick up reveals a startling difference. Compare the pick up time and man hours required per container for pick up. Casa De Lodi streets are 25 feet wide and have no sidewalks and no street parking. The set back of the unit from the street is four feet. Lodi residential streets, including sidewalks and curb areas vary from 46 feet to 80 feet with two way traffic, and the residence is set back from the sidewalk a minimum of 20 feet. The frontage width of lots make a difference also.

Casa de Lodi are 50 feet and Lodi residence are 70 feet and more. In Casa de Lodi, piek up can be made with one person (driver only) from both side of the alreet and by parking opposite the center of these units, can service 6 units while transporting a distance of 50 feet per unit or 300 feet to empty and return 6 containers. In Lodi Residential areas the pickup crew is normally a driver and a belper. The streets are two way traffic, with curb side parking allowed. Only one side of the street can serviced at one time and the distance between pick up areas are considerably greater. This means approximates 2 cans a stop in town where as 6 are picked up in Casa de Lodi per step.

Residents of Casa de Lodi are strong believers in the recycling program and have been participants in the program since its inception. Currently a church group picks up the news print which is the bulk of the recyclable for their building program. We believe the 5 gallon containers provided by California Waste Service are more than adaquate for our requirements. We simply have no use for a large containers and no place to store them. There is strong sentiment also in the park for starting our own recycling program and using part of the procedes to rent a bin for returning those items to the collection agency.

In summary, we have established that the present cost to service garbage pick up between Casa de Lodi verses the average residential household is considerably less.

Based on the size of land occupied, Less than one half Occupancy per unit Less than one half

Garbage Less than one half

Compost generated Almost none

Pick up time Much less than One half

This certaily justifies our now existing rates which are 30% below the rate for the normal bousehold residence. However with the newly proposed equal rates for all, this would increase our rate by 130% in April or May while the city rate increases only 38%.

In conclusion, it must be remembered that most of the occupants of Casa de Lodi are living on fixed retirement incomes. We must watch our "pennies", particularly in these times of reduced interest and " "lend etc.

Richard EWarner

Richard E Warner

President, Casa de Lodi Home Owners Association

15 Rio Vista Dr. Lodi, Ca. 95240 October 21, 1992



INCORPORATED

Mayor Jiin Pinkerton Lodi City Council Call Box 3006 Lodi, California 95241-1910

Dear Lodi City Council Members,

It is the time for us to change our way of thinking when it comes to recycling and saving what precious space we have left on our planet.

California Waste Removal Systems, Inc. is moving forward in a positive way, helping our community recycling as much as possible.

California Waste Removal Systems, Inc. tins put a lot of effort into educating our children and community on what can be recycled and has given us ways to recycle. They also keep their money local and they hire citizens of Lodi.

California Waste Removal Systems, Inc. has our full support in the new three-cart system. It's time we all do this as a community.

Sincerely,

Mike Bedford

Mika Bedful

cc:

Jack Sieglock Randy Snider Phil Pennino CITY COUNCIL

JAMES W. PINKERTON, Mayor PHILLIP A. PENNINO Mayor Pro Tempore DAVID M. HINCHMAN IACK A. SIEGLOCK JOHN R. (Randy) SNIDER

CITY OF LODI

CITY HALL, 221 WEST PINE STREET PO. BOX 3006 LODI, CALIFORNIA 95241-1910 (209) 3345634 FAX (209) 333-6795

THOMAS A. PETERSON City Manager

ALICE M REIMCHE City Clerk

BOB McNATT City Attorney

October 23, 1992

Sanitary City Disposal Attention: Dave Vaccarezza P.O. Box 319 Lodi, CA 95241

Dear Dave:

Enclosed please find certified copies of the following Urgency Uncodified Ordinances which were adopted at the Lodi City Council meeting of Cctober 21, 1992:

- 1. Ordinance No. 1558 entitled, "An Uncodified urgency Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Lodi Amending Lodi Municipal Code Chapter 13.16 Relating to Residential Solid Waste Collection Monthly Rates"; and
- 2. Ordinance No. 1559 entitled, "An Uncodified urgency Ordinance of the Lodi City Council Amending Lodi Municipal Code Chapter 13.16, Relating to Commercial Solid Waste collection Monthly Rates".

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Very truly yours,

Jehnifer M. Perrin Deputy City Clerk

JMP

Enclosures